

Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 04765 052259Z

66

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 IO-15 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-07 INR-10 L-03 NEA-10

NSAE-00 PA-03 RSC-01 PRS-01 SPC-03 USIA-15 TRSE-00

MBFR-04 SAJ-01 SS-15 NSC-10 ACDA-19 OMB-01 DRC-01

/145 W

----- 028823

R 051935Z OCT 73

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 1991

SECDEF WASHDC

INFO ALL NATO CAPITALS 3333

USNMR SHAPE

USDOCOSOUTH

USLOSACLANT

USCINCEUR

USMISSION GENEVA

C O N F I D E N T I A L USNATO 4765

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PARM, NATO

SUBJ: CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES: OCTOBER 5 NAC REVIEW
OF "ILLUSTRATIVE LISTS" PAPER

GENEVA FOR CSCE DEL

REF: A) USNATO 4553; B) USNATO 4583; C) STATE 196388

SUMMARY: PERMREPS APPROVED REVISED POLADS PAPER ON USE OF
"ILLUSTRATIVE LISTS" TO DEVELOP CSCE CONFIDENCE BUILDING MEASURE
ON ADVANCE NOTIFICATION OF MILITARY MOVEMENTS AND MANEUVERS, SUBJECT
TO U.S. RESERVATIONS IN COVER NOTE ON ADVANCE NOTIFICATION OF
MOVEMENTS. DOCUMENT WILL BE REISSUED FOR DELEGATIONS TO FORWARD
AS GENERAL GUIDELINES TO CSCE DELS. END SUMMARY

1. SYG SAID NAC COULD PROBABLY NOT APPROVE ENTIRE DOCUMENT FORWARDED
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NATO 04765 052259Z

BY SENIOR POLADS (REF A, AS REVISED AT SEPTEMBER 27 SPC MEETING
AND REPORTED REF B), IN VIEW OF CERTAIN RESERVATIONS IN PARIS,
WASHINGTON AND ROME. SUBJECT TO THESE RESERVATIONS, HE ASKED IF
DOCUMENT COULD BE FORWARDED TO CSCE NEGOTIATORS IN GENEVA FOR

THEIR GENERAL GUIDANCE.

2. DRAWING ON REF C, RUMSFELD (U.S.) SAID U.S. COULD ACCEPT DOCUMENT ON UNDERSTANDING THAT BASIC TEXT WOULD NOT BE SEPARATED FROM COVER NOTE, IN WHICH U.S. VIEWS ON ADVANCE NOTIFICATION OF MOVEMENTS WERE IDENTIFIED; PERHAPS THIS COULD BE MADE CLEAR BY A FOOTNOTE IN THE TEXT.

3. ON TACTICS FOR GENEVA, RUMSFELD SAID U.S. WOULD NOT OPPOSE APPROACH RECOMMENDED BY UK AND FAVORED BY OTHERS, TO ATTEMPT TO PLACE ONUS FOR EXCLUDING ADVANCE NOTIFICATION OF MOVEMENTS ON SOVIETS. HOWEVER, HE THOUGHT CSCE NEGOTIATORS SHOULD NOT BE GIVEN OVERLY DETAILED TACTICAL GUIDANCE, AND SUGGESTED THAT LAST THREE SENTENCES OF COVER NOT AS GIVEN IN REF A SHOULD BE DROPPED, AND THAT THE WORDS "BY PRESENTING ILLUSTRATIVE LISTS, TAKING INTO ACCOUNT OF CONSIDERATIONS IN THIS REPORT" SHOULD BE ADDED AT THE END OF THE PREVIOUS SENTENCE, WHICH ENDS WITH THE WORD "NOTIFICATION." U.S. IN TURN WOULD BE WILLING TO DROP PARA 4(II). UK, NETHERLANDS AND OTHERS WELCOMED U.S. COMPROMISE, AND IT WAS ACCEPTED WITHOUT DISCUSSION.

4. PECK (UK) SAID THAT LONDON FOUND DOCUMENT ACCEPTABLE IN ITS PRESENT FORM, BUT FELT THAT THERE SHOULD BE A FOLLOW-UP CLARIFICATION OF DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MILITARY MOVEMENTS AND MANEUVERS. HE CIRCULATED FOLLOWING PROPOSED DEFINITIONS, AND ASKED THAT SENIOR POLADS CONSIDER THEM, IN ATTEMPT TO FIND AGREED ALLIED TERMS:

BEGIN TEXT

"A. MOVEMENT

THE TRANSFER OF FORCE WITH OR WITHOUT EQUIPMENT FROM ONE PLACE TO ANOTHER. IT MAY BE MADE FOR PURPOSES OF TRAINING, ROULEMENT OR OPERATIONS REINFORCEMENT. IT MAY BE PLANNED IN ADVANCE OR ARRANGED WITHOUT NOTICE.

B. MANOEUVRE

A. MILITARY EXERCISE OR SIMULATED WARTIME OPERATION
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 NATO 04765 052259Z

INVOLVING PLANNING, PREPARATION AND EXECUTION. IT IS CARRIED OUT FOR THE PURPOSE OF TRAINING AND EVALUATION. IT MAY BE A COMBINED, UNIFIED, JOINT OR ARMY EXERCISE, DEPENDING ON PARTICIPATING ORGANIZATIONS.

(THE SECOND IS ALREADY AN AGREED NATO DEFINITION FOR EXERCISES WHICH MAY BE REGARDED FOR THESE PURPOSES AS THE SAME AS A MANOEUVRE.)"

END TEXT

5. WITH REGARD TO PARA 10 OF DOCUMENT, UK AND MC REPS REITERATED THEIR PREFERENCE FOR NOT MORE THAN 60 DAYS ADVANCE NOTIFICATION, AND ASKED THOSE WHO FAVORED ANNUAL CALENDARS (I.E., U.S.) TO

GIVE MORE DETAIL JUSTIFICATION OF THEIR POSITION. COMMENT:
WE WOULD APPRECIATE GUIDANCE ON THIS POINT FOR USE IN NEXT SENIOR
POLADS DISCUSSION OF CBM'S WHEN SCHEDULED. END COMMENT

6. ERALP (TURKEY) REQUESTED ADDITIONAL SENTENCE IN FOOTNOTE TO
PARA 9, TO READ "BY THE SAME TOKEN, AN AMPHIBIOUS FORCE OF MORE
THAN TWO BRIGADES COULD, IN THE BLACK SEA REGION, BE A DE-
STABILIZING FACTOR, AND SHOULD CONSEQUENTLY BE NOTIFIED."
TURKISH REQUEST INITIATED GENERAL DISCUSSION IN WHICH GREECE
ALSO ASKED FOR SENTENCE IN FOOTNOTE TO REFLECT ITS PARTICULAR
CONCERNS ABOUT MOVEMENTS LESS THAN DIVISION SIZE BY WARSAW
PACT NEAR IT FRONTIERS. AFTER GREEK REQUEST DREW NEGATIVE
REACTIONS FROM FRANCE, ITALY, AND NETHERLANDS, IT WAS AGREED
THAT TURKISH SENTENCE WOULD BE ADDED TO FOOTNOTE, AND REFERENCE
WOULD BE MADE TO MILITARY COMMITTEE COMMENTS THAT TEND TO SUPPORT
NORWEGIAN VIEW, BUT THAT AT RUMSFELD'S REQUEST IT WOULD ALSO
BE MADE CLEAR THAT THESE WERE THE VIEWS OF THE DELEGATIONS
IMMEDIATELY CONCERNED AND DID NOT REPRESENT A CONSENSUS. RUMSFELD

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 02 APR 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 05 OCT 1973
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: boyleja
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973NATO04765
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: 11652 GDS
Errors: n/a
Film Number: n/a
From: NATO
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t19731064/abqcecoc.tel
Line Count: 129
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: n/a
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: A) USNATO 4553; B) USNATO 4583; C) STATE 196388
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: boyleja
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 15 AUG 2001
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <15-Aug-2001 by elyme>; APPROVED <25-Sep-2001 by boyleja>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES: OCTOBER 5 NAC REVIEW OF "ILLUSTRATIVE LISTS" PAPER
TAGS: PARM, NATO
To: STATE
SECDEF INFO ALL NATO CAPITALS
USNMR SHAPE
USDOCOSOUTH
USLOSACLANT
USCINCEUR
GENEVA

Type: TE

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005